Education and Health: National Priorities Beyond Politics for Sustainable Development in Fiji and the Pacific

Davendra Sharma

Lecturer and Course Coordinator. University Wide Programme and Bachelor of Interdisciplinary Studies Programme, University of Fiji, Fiji Islands.

Abstract. Education and health are indispensable components of societal development, directly influencing economic growth, social equity, and individual well-being. In Fiji and the Pacific region, addressing these sectors as national imperatives, insulated from political interference, is critical for overcoming systemic challenges. This paper explores the necessity of prioritizing education and health above political agendas, emphasizing their role in human capital development and crisis resilience. Drawing on case studies and regional data, the paper highlights challenges such as funding inconsistencies, geographical barriers, and systemic inequities. It also identifies strategies, including independent oversight bodies, legislative safeguards, and community-driven governance, to ensure sustainable and equitable development. By depoliticizing these essential sectors, Fiji and the Pacific can build resilient societies, fostering inclusive growth and meeting sustainable development goals.

Index Terms- Inclusive. Equality. equity, politics. depoliticizing, resilient. sustainable, prosperity, challenges. Opportunities, non-negotiable, influence, legislative

I. Introduction

Education and health are foundational pillars essential to fostering sustainable development and building a resilient society. Their influence extends far beyond individual well-being, shaping national prosperity, economic growth, social equity, and the ability of a nation to withstand and recover from crises. In Fiji and the broader Pacific region, where diverse cultural, geographical, and economic challenges exist, it is crucial to recognize the importance of these sectors as non-negotiable priorities, free from the fluctuations of political agendas.

Ensuring that its citizens have access to high-quality schooling and health care is among a government's most important roles. Health and education—which enhance human capital—are widely believed to promote economic growth: schooling and health measures have both been linked to increased gross domestic product (GDP), and there are well- grounded reasons to believe that health and education boost worker productivity and, in turn, income. In addition, bolstering the health and

education of children from poor families is one of the most powerful ways to break the intergenerational transmission of poverty and allow families to rise out of poverty. Moreover, health and education are basic human rights of every citizen, and governments are responsible for safeguarding these rights (United Nations 1948, Articles 25 and 26).

While there does exist private-sector provision of both health and education services in developing countries, the government is typically the main provider in these countries. In part, the government is filling in for a poorly developed private market, but there are also several reasons why governments have a natural role in these spheres, such as disease prevention or the externalities from having an educated population. Not only does the government account for a large share of the provision of services in the education and health care sectors, but education and health care also make up a large share of government spending. Health care and education account for over a third of the public-sector work- force in developing countries (Clements et al. 2010). Unfortunately, governments in developing countries often do a poor job of delivering health care and education to their citizens

Despite their importance, education and health in Fiji and the Pacific face significant barriers. Geographic isolation and limited infrastructure create disparities in access and quality. In rural and remote communities, children often attend schools lacking basic resources, while individuals in these areas may struggle to access essential health services. These challenges are exacerbated by socio-economic inequality, with vulnerable groups—such as low-income families and indigenous populations—being disproportionately affected.

Political influences can further impede progress by shifting priorities and reallocating funding away from education and health, which can disrupt long-term plans and initiatives. This can hinder comprehensive reforms needed to modernize education systems or to expand healthcare infrastructure and services. Therefore, it is imperative to treat these sectors as national priorities that require consistent policy support and investment, insulated from political cycles and short-term motivations.

This paper explores why education and health should be treated as national imperatives, presenting evidence of their importance for sustainable development. It identifies challenges unique to the Pacific context and offers strategies to depoliticize these areas, such as establishing independent oversight, enacting legislative protections for funding, and fostering community-based governance models. Through these measures, Fiji and the Pacific region can create a more equitable society, ensure that their citizens have the opportunity to reach their potential, and meet critical sustainable development goals.

Education and health serve as the cornerstones of a nation's progress, empowering individuals and communities to achieve their full potential. In the 21st century, the transformative role of these sectors extends beyond individual well-being to fostering economic stability, social cohesion, and resilience against crises. For Fiji and the Pacific region, where unique geographical, cultural, and economic challenges

persist, ensuring equitable access to quality education and healthcare is paramount to sustainable development.

Globally, evidence underscores the direct correlation between investment in human capital and national development. Education enhances employability, innovation, and civic engagement, while health improves workforce productivity and reduces systemic healthcare costs. However, in Fiji and other Pacific Island nations, these sectors face significant constraints, including underfunding, infrastructural gaps, and disparities in access. Political interference often exacerbates these challenges, undermining long-term strategies and perpetuating inequities.

This paper posits that education and health must be treated as non-negotiable national priorities, shielded from political cycles and short-term agendas. It examines the socio-economic impacts of these sectors, explores the barriers to equitable access in Fiji and the Pacific, and proposes actionable strategies for sustainable governance. By insulating education and health from political influences, the region can foster inclusive growth, meet international commitments such as the Sustainable Development Goals, and build resilient, self-reliant communities.

Education and health are foundational pillars essential to fostering sustainable development and building a resilient society. Their influence extends far beyond individual well-being, shaping national prosperity, economic growth, social equity, and the ability of a nation to withstand and recover from crises. In Fiji and the broader Pacific region, where diverse cultural, geographical, and economic challenges exist, it is crucial to recognize the importance of these sectors as non-negotiable priorities, free from the fluctuations of political agendas.

Despite their importance, education and health in Fiji and the Pacific face significant barriers. Geographic isolation and limited infrastructure create disparities in access and quality. In rural and remote communities, children often attend schools lacking basic resources, while individuals in these areas may struggle to access essential health services. These challenges are exacerbated by socio-economic inequality, with vulnerable groups—such as low-income families and indigenous populations—being disproportionately affected.

Political influences can further impede progress by shifting priorities and reallocating funding away from education and health, which can disrupt long-term plans and initiatives. This can hinder comprehensive reforms needed to modernize education systems or to expand healthcare infrastructure and services. Therefore, it is imperative to treat these sectors as national priorities that require consistent policy support and investment, insulated from political cycles and short-term motivations.

Education and health policies are profoundly affected by politics and changes in government, leading to significant fluctuations in the direction, funding, and implementation of these vital sectors.

1. Education and Health: National Priorities Above Politics

Both education and health are foundational pillars of societal well-being and development, transcending political ideologies and party agendas. Their impact on economic growth, social stability, and quality of life underscores the necessity of treating them as non-negotiable national priorities. Here's why they should remain above political manuevering:

Economic and Social Impact

- Education equips citizens with the skills and knowledge necessary for economic participation, innovation, and national productivity. Similarly, a healthy population reduces the burden on healthcare systems and increases workforce efficiency. Neglect in either domain results in long-term economic stagnation.
- Case studies globally show that countries investing heavily in education and health experience higher rates of sustainable development and lower inequality.

Equity and Social Justice

- Universal access to quality education and healthcare ensures that all citizens, regardless of socio-economic status, can contribute to and benefit from societal progress. These sectors act as equalizers, addressing systemic inequalities that politics may exacerbate.

Public Trust and Stability

- Depoliticizing education and healthcare builds public trust in these systems. Citizens are less likely to view reforms as tools for partisan gain and more as efforts for collective benefit. For example, bipartisan agreements in countries like Finland and Singapore have ensured continuity in policies, leading to exemplary outcomes in both education and health.

Global Commitments and Accountability

- Many nations, including those in the Pacific region, are signatories to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which prioritize quality education (SDG 4) and good health and well-being (SDG 3). Achieving these goals requires prioritizing education and health above politics to maintain consistent policies and funding.

Resilience in Crisis

- The COVID-19 pandemic revealed the importance of robust education and healthcare systems. Countries with strong infrastructure in these areas managed the crisis more effectively. Political interference during emergencies can weaken response mechanisms, underscoring the need for stability in these sectors.

Call to Action

Policymakers must institutionalize frameworks that shield education and healthcare from the ebb and flow of political agendas. Establishing independent commissions, securing consistent funding through legislation, and fostering public-private partnerships are steps toward ensuring these sectors serve the people as intended—irrespective of political shifts.

II. Education and Health: National Priorities Beyond Politics for Sustainable Development in Fiji and the Pacific

Education and health are the twin pillars of sustainable development, forming the foundation of human capital and societal progress. In Fiji and the broader Pacific region, addressing these sectors as national imperatives—shielded from political fluctuations—is essential to overcoming systemic challenges and fostering long-term resilience. This paper advocates for depoliticizing education and healthcare, emphasizing their critical role in nation-building and sustainable development.

1. The Case for Prioritizing Education and Health
Economic and Social Drivers of Development

Education and health are key enablers of economic growth. In Fiji, investments in education increase the employability of the youth population, while access to healthcare reduces workforce absenteeism and increases productivity. Countries with strong education and health systems, such as Singapore, have demonstrated how consistent investment in human capital leads to economic transformation.

Pacific Context: Data from the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat reveals that over 80% of the region's population depends on subsistence economies, making education and health crucial for transitioning to diversified economies.

Equity and Inclusion

The Pacific region is characterized by significant inequalities in access to education and healthcare, particularly in remote and rural areas. For instance, children in outer islands like Lau in Fiji often lack access to qualified teachers and healthcare facilities. These disparities perpetuate cycles of poverty and marginalization.

- **Policy Imperative:** Policymakers must adopt equity-driven frameworks to allocate resources where they are most needed, ensuring that services reach underserved populations regardless of political considerations.

Resilience Against Crises

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed vulnerabilities in the Pacific's education and health systems. School closures and overwhelmed healthcare facilities underscored the need for robust, adaptable systems insulated from political interference. In Fiji, the rapid deployment of telehealth and online learning platforms demonstrated the potential of innovation when politics does not hinder progress.

III. Challenges in Depoliticizing Education and Health

1. Fragmented Policy Implementation

Political shifts often lead to discontinuity in policies and programs. In Fiji, education reforms, such as free primary and secondary schooling, have sometimes

faced inconsistent funding and administrative hurdles due to changes in political priorities.

2. Funding Constraints

Education and health systems in the Pacific are often underfunded, with budgets vulnerable to reallocation for politically driven projects. For example, rural healthcare centers in Fiji frequently report shortages of essential medicines and staff, a challenge exacerbated by resource mismanagement.

3. Cultural and Regional Diversity

Fiji's multicultural society and the Pacific's geographic dispersion create unique challenges in delivering equitable services. Politicized approaches can amplify ethnic and regional disparities, further fragmenting service delivery.

Strategies for Depoliticization and Long-Term Sustainability
Independent Oversight Bodies

Establishing autonomous education and health commissions can ensure that decision-making is guided by evidence and long-term objectives rather than short-term political gains. These bodies should oversee budget allocations, policy implementation, and performance monitoring.

Legislative Safeguards

Enacting laws that guarantee minimum funding levels for education and healthcare can protect these sectors from budget cuts during political transitions. For instance, Fiji could model legislation on the Education Protection Act in South Africa, which mandates consistent funding for primary education.

Community Engagement and Decentralization

Empowering local communities to participate in education and health governance can reduce the influence of central political dynamics. In the Pacific, community-led initiatives such as village health workers and local school boards have demonstrated success in tailoring services to specific needs.

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)

Collaborations between governments, NGOs, and private entities can supplement public resources and introduce innovative solutions. For instance, partnerships with telecommunications providers in Fiji could expand access to telehealth and online education platforms in remote areas.

Regional Cooperation

The Pacific Islands Forum could spearhead regional initiatives to share resources and expertise, such as pooling specialist healthcare workers or developing a regional teacher training institute. Collaborative efforts can reduce costs and improve service quality across member nations.

Education and health policies are profoundly affected by politics and changes in government, leading to significant fluctuations in the direction, funding, and implementation of these vital sectors.

IV. The Political Landscape Influences Decisions in Several Ways

1. Policy Continuity and Stability

- **Impact of Political Cycles:** Governments often introduce policies that align with their ideologies and objectives. When a new party or leader takes office, changes in priorities can lead to abrupt shifts or reversals in education and health policies. For instance, a government focused on economic growth might prioritize vocational training and private sector partnerships, whereas a more social-justice-oriented administration might emphasize free and equitable access to public services.
- **Case Studies:** In countries like the United States, healthcare policies have seen significant shifts with the transition between administrations, such as the contrasting healthcare reforms under Presidents Obama and Trump. Similarly, changes in education policies in many nations can reflect the ideological stances of the ruling party, impacting curriculum changes and funding allocation.

2. Budget Allocation and Funding

- **Impact of Political Priorities:** Education and health are often subject to budgetary constraints influenced by government spending priorities. When political leaders seek to meet immediate objectives, such as economic stimulus or national security, funding for non-priority sectors like education and healthcare may be reduced.
- **Examples in the Pacific:** In Fiji and other Pacific island nations, budget reallocations can impact both sectors, especially during economic downturns or after natural disasters. If a new government prioritizes infrastructure or defense over social services, education and health funding may suffer, affecting service quality and accessibility.

3. Legislative and Regulatory Changes

- **Policy Shifts and Reforms:** Each new government may introduce legislative changes that affect the regulatory environment for education and healthcare. This could include altering curriculum standards, reworking healthcare regulations, or shifting from public to privatized models.
- **Political Influence on Reform:** For example, governments that focus on market-driven solutions might promote public-private partnerships in education and health services, whereas those emphasizing public welfare might push for increased government spending and regulation to maintain universal access.

Political Ideology and Social Equity

- **Equity in Access:** Governments with different political ideologies may have contrasting views on equity in access to education and healthcare. Progressive governments might strive for universal coverage and inclusion, while

conservative governments may focus on reducing state involvement and promoting personal responsibility, which can lead to disparities in service access.

- **Impact on Marginalized Groups:** Shifts in policy can disproportionately affect marginalized groups. For instance, if a government cuts funding for community health programs or public education, vulnerable populations, such as low-income and rural communities, often bear the brunt of these changes.

International Influence and Commitments

- **Global Agreements and Pressure:** Countries that are part of international organizations such as the United Nations and the World Health Organization are often subject to international agreements that require them to maintain specific education and health standards. However, changes in government can lead to varying levels of commitment or implementation of these agreements.

- **Pacific Region Example:** Fiji and other Pacific nations are part of global initiatives like the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Governments may shift their approaches based on their interpretation of these goals and their commitment to international collaboration. A government prioritizing environmental sustainability might shift resources away from healthcare to climate change adaptation, affecting population health.

This vision demands collective action, innovative policies, and unwavering political will to place the well-being of citizens above partisan interests. Only by doing so can education and health fulfil their transformative potential as drivers of development and equity.

V. Conclusion

In conclusion, prioritizing education and health as national imperatives, separate from political cycles and agendas, is essential for the sustainable development of Fiji and the broader Pacific region. Education and health not only contribute to economic growth but also promote social equity and stability. The challenges posed by limited resources, remote geographical locations, and socio-economic disparities must be addressed through policy measures that prioritize long-term solutions over short-term gains. Independent oversight bodies, legislative safeguards, and community engagement are effective strategies to ensure that education and health systems receive the consistent funding and support they need.

For Fiji and the Pacific, depoliticizing these essential sectors would foster a resilient, inclusive society capable of adapting to future challenges. By committing to a stable and equitable approach, the region can achieve its goals of improved literacy, higher employment rates, better health outcomes, and a stronger economy. This commitment would not only align with international initiatives, such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, but also empower the population to reach its full potential, contributing to regional prosperity and a more stable future. The time to act is now, with policy, community involvement, and international collaboration serving as the pillars to elevate education and health to their rightful status as cornerstones of national and regional development.

In conclusion, prioritizing education and health as national imperatives, separate from political cycles and agendas, is essential for the sustainable development of Fiji and the broader Pacific region. Education and health not only contribute to economic growth but also promote social equity and stability. The challenges posed by limited resources, remote geographical locations, and socio-economic disparities must be addressed through policy measures that prioritize long-term solutions over short-term gains. Independent oversight bodies, legislative safeguards, and community engagement are effective strategies to ensure that education and health systems receive the consistent funding and support they need.

References

1. Kam, C. D., & Palmer, C. L. (2008). Reconsidering the effects of education on political participation. The Journal of Politics, 70(3), 612-631.
2. Ross, C. E., & Wu, C. L. (1995). The links between education and health. American sociological review, 719-745.
3. Yoosefi Lebni, J., Abbas, J., Moradi, F., Salahshoor, M. R., Chaboksavar, F., Irandoost, S. F., ... & Ziapour, A. (2021). How the COVID-19 pandemic effected economic, social, political, and cultural factors: A lesson from Iran. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 67(3), 298-300.
4. Baum, M. A., & Lake, D. A. (2003). The political economy of growth: democracy and human capital. American journal of political science, 47(2), 333-347.
5. Novelli, M., Higgins, S., Ugur, M., & Valiente, O. (2014). The political economy of education systems in conflict-affected contexts: A rigorous literature review.
6. Jones, B. D. (2005). The politics of attention: How government prioritizes problems. University of Chicago Press.
7. Albertson, B., & Gadarian, S. K. (2015). Anxious politics: Democratic citizenship in a threatening world. Cambridge University Press.
8. Zembylas, M. (2009). Affect, citizenship, politics: Implications for education. Pedagogy, Culture & Society, 17(3), 369-383.
9. Cerqua, A., Gauthier, C., & Dembélé, M. (2014). Education policy, teacher education, and pedagogy: A case study of UNESCO. Annual Review of Comparative and International Education 2014, 25, 235-266.
10. Maldonado-Maldonado, A., & Verger, A. (2010). Politics, UNESCO, and higher education: a case study. International Higher Education, (58).
11. Tripp, B. M. (1953). UNESCO in Perspective. Int'l Conciliation, 30, 321.
12. Solesin, L. (2018). The role of UNESCO in the global governance of education.