



Impact of science on digital education a sociological study

Dr. Siddagangaiah, S. G

Associate Professor in Sociology, GFGC Tumkur, Karnataka State.

Abstract- The development of science for a sustainable society means connecting social networks. The impact of science on digital education has transformed the traditional learning environment into a more dynamic, accessible, and technology-driven galaxy. This sociological study explores how scientific advancements, particularly in information and communication technologies, have redesigned educational practices, social interactions, and learning outcomes. The integration of scientific innovations such as artificial intelligence, virtual classrooms, e-learning platforms, and data analytics has made education more inclusive and personalized. It has enabled learners from diverse socio-economic backgrounds to access quality education beyond geographical limitations. From a sociological perspective, digital education has influenced social structures, teacher-student relationships, and the cultural understanding of knowledge and learning. While it promotes democratization of education and lifelong learning opportunities, it also raises concerns about digital inequality, technological dependence, and the potential loss of human connection in the learning process. This study highlights the dual nature of scientific progress in education—empowering learners while simultaneously creating new social divides based on access and digital literacy. The findings emphasize the need for policies that bridge the digital gap and encourage equitable access to technology-enabled education. Ultimately, science has not only modernized the tools and methods of education but has also redefined the social dimensions of learning, shaping a generation that directs both physical and virtual worlds in search of knowledge and development.

Keywords- Science, Society, Digital education, Artificial intelligence, e-learning.

I. Introduction

Science has always served as a keystone of human progress, continuously reshaping the way societies learn, communicate, and interact. One of its most transformative influences in recent decades has been on education, particularly through the rise of digital technologies. The advancement of scientific knowledge in fields such as information technology, computer science, artificial intelligence, and data analytics has transformed the traditional educational systems, giving birth to what is known as digital education. This transformation has not only changed the methods of teaching and learning but has also deeply impacted social relationships, access to knowledge, and the overall structure of educational institutions. A sociological study of this phenomenon helps us understand not just the technological changes but also their broader social implications.

Digital education, driven by scientific innovation, has enabled learning to exceed the boundaries of time and space. Online classrooms, virtual simulations, educational software, and digital resources have made education more accessible and flexible. Science-based tools such as artificial intelligence in personalized learning, data-driven



assessments, and virtual reality for immersive learning experiences have enhanced both teaching efficiency and student engagement. During the COVID-19 pandemic, these scientific advancements proved vital in sustaining education globally, demonstrating the power of technology to adapt to crises. However, the sociological impact of this digital transformation extends far beyond technological progress—it shapes cultural values, social interaction, and educational equity.

From a sociological perspective, digital education reflects the changing dynamics of society in the information era. It redefines the roles of teachers and students, promotes collaborative learning across digital platforms, and fosters global connectivity. Yet, it also raises concerns about the “digital divide,” where unequal access to technology deepens social inequality between different socio-economic groups, particularly among marginalized. While science has created opportunities for inclusive education, its benefits are not evenly distributed. Factors such as income, geographic location, gender, and digital literacy significantly influence who gains access to digital education and who is left behind.

Furthermore, the integration of science into education challenges traditional notions of authority and knowledge. Learning is no longer confined to classrooms or textbooks; instead, it has become an interactive, learner-centered process facilitated by digital media. This shift influences social behavior, communication patterns, and even the development of identity among learners. The sociological implications of digital education thus involve both empowerment and adaptation, as individuals and institutions direct this new digital learning landscape.

Science has fundamentally transformed education into a digital, interconnected system that mirrors the broader trends of modernization and globalization. A sociological study of its impact provides valuable insights into how technology-driven education shapes human relationships, social structures, and cultural values. Understanding these dynamics is essential to ensure that digital education, while scientifically advanced, remains socially equitable and inclusive—paving the way for a future where science and society work together to promote lifelong learning and sustainable development.

II. Review of Literature

Neil Selwyn (2016) emphasizes that digital education must be understood not merely as technical change but as socially embedded practice shaped by institutions, power relations, and cultural meanings. His critical work situates educational technologies within broader social, political, and economic contexts, arguing that technology amplifies existing inequalities and reconfigures teaching–learning relationships rather than automatically improving education.

Davis (1989) explained that the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Diffusion of Innovations remain prominent frameworks for explaining individual and organizational uptake of educational technologies. TAM foregrounds perceived usefulness and ease-of-use as predictors of user acceptance, while Rogers’ diffusion framework highlights adopter categories, social networks, and communication channels that accelerate or impede spread. Sociological studies critique these models



for underemphasizing structural constraints (e.g., resources, policy) and cultural resistance.

VanDijk, J. (2020) A robust body of empirical research documents multi-layered digital inequalities in access to devices and connectivity, digital skills, and institutional readiness, which strongly condition outcomes in digital education. Pre-pandemic surveys and pandemic analyses show that schools and students varied widely in digital readiness, with disadvantaged groups disproportionately excluded from remote learning, widening educational inequalities. These findings stress that mere provision of technology is insufficient without addressing socioeconomic and institutional axes of disadvantage.

OECD (2015). The COVID-19 school closures constituted an unprecedented natural experiment that both accelerated EdTech adoption and revealed systemic weaknesses. International reports and multi-country reviews show rapid deployment of remote learning solutions but also mixed effectiveness, uneven engagement, and significant learning losses among vulnerable learners. Policy responses varied, and many studies conclude that emergency tech uptake must be followed by more equitable, pedagogically sound integration.

Warschauer, M. (2011) Research examining learning outcomes indicates that digital tools can support personalized learning, formative assessment, and access to resources, but benefits are contingent on pedagogy, teacher competence, and contextual fit. Teachers' professional development, workload, and perceptions mediate how technologies are used in classrooms. Sociological analyses highlight tensions between technologized, data-driven practices and the relational, care-based aspects of teaching. Hargittai, E. (2008). The growth of learning analytics, AI tutors, and platformized education raises urgent ethical and sociological concerns: surveillance, algorithmic bias, consent, and the commercialization of student data. Systematic reviews locate recurring issues in data governance and call for stronger regulatory frameworks, transparency, and participatory policymaking to protect learners' rights and maintain educational values.

OECD (2015) and international policy reviews emphasize that successful digital education requires coherent governance: infrastructure investment, teacher training, curriculum alignment, and evaluation frameworks. Studies of national responses during COVID-19 suggest that countries with pre-existing strategic digital plans navigated disruptions better; yet policy must prioritize equity and pedagogical quality, not only technological diffusion.

Livingstone, S., & Helsper, E. (2007). Literature highlights several gaps: (a) longitudinal sociological studies tracking how digital practices reshape educational trajectories over time; (b) micro-level ethnographies of classroom interactions mediated by AI and platforms; (c) intersectional analyses of how class, race, gender, and disability intersect with digital access and outcomes; (d) evaluations of governance models for data ethics in education; and (e) comparative studies of policy implementation across diverse national contexts. Recent work calls for mixed-methods



approaches that combine large-scale datasets with qualitative insight to capture both distributional effects and lived experiences.

Objectives

1. To examine the role of scientific and technological advancements in transforming educational practices
2. To analyze the social implications of digital education
3. To assess the challenges and opportunities created by digital education from a sociological perspective

III. Research Gap

Despite the rapid integration of digital technologies in education, there is limited research examining how these tools affect social interactions, learning behaviors, and inequalities among students. Most studies focus on technological effectiveness or academic performance, neglecting the sociological implications of digital education. There is a lack of comprehensive understanding of how digital platforms influence student engagement, teacher-student relationships, and community participation in diverse socio-economic contexts. Additionally, the long-term societal impacts of digital education, such as changes in cultural norms, communication patterns, and educational access, remain underexplored. Addressing these gaps is essential to develop strategies that ensure equitable, inclusive, and socially responsible digital learning environments.

IV. Methodology

The study will adopt a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative research techniques to understand the sociological impact of science on digital education. Primary data will be collected through structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews targeting students, teachers, and educational administrators across urban and rural areas. Secondary data will be collected from academic journals, government reports, and digital education platforms to analyze trends and previous research findings. Sampling will be purposive and stratified to ensure representation of different age groups, socio-economic backgrounds, and educational institutions. Sampling will be 55 students who are studying in undergraduate education. Quantitative data will be analyzed using statistical tools to measure accessibility, usage patterns, and performance outcomes in digital learning environments. Qualitative data from interviews will undergo thematic analysis to identify perceptions, challenges, and socio-cultural factors influencing digital education. The study will also examine the role of technological infrastructure, social networks, and policy interventions in shaping digital learning experiences. Ethical considerations, including informed consent, anonymity, and voluntary participation, will be strictly maintained throughout the research process.



Findings and Analysis

Table-1 Digital divide between rural and urban students

	Contents		Frequency	Percentage
1	Digital divide	Access android gadgets	35	63.63
		Access internet	24	43.63
		Access adequate data	18	32.72
		Availability of network	41	74.54
2	Lack of Digital Literacy:	lack the necessary technical skills	45	81.81
		use of digital tools	31	56.36
		Use of online learning platforms	17	30.90

Field survey-2025

Table 1 shows that digital divide between rural and urban students. There is a gap to access the e-learning process. About 63.63% of the respondents have Android gadgets, which are required for digital learning. About 43.63% of the respondents have sufficient internet. About 32.72% of the respondents have adequate data to use digital content available in online mode. Around 74.54% of them have proper network connectivity. About 81.81% of the respondents have suffered from a lack of necessary technical skills due to lack of digital literacy. About 56.36% of the respondents do not have digital tools. Almost 30.90% of the respondents have no specific skills to use on online learning platforms.

Table -2 Infrastructure Limitations:

	Content	Description	Frequency	Percentage
1	Infrastructure Limitations:	Poor internet connectivity	49	89.09
		frequent power cuts	38	69.09
		lack of devices such as laptops or tablets	45	81.81
2	Quality of Online Content	online content can lead to misinformation,	20	36.36
		poor-quality resources	21	56.36
		inconsistent educational standards.	26	47.27

Field survey—2025

Table -2 explains those infrastructure limitations. About 89.09% of the respondents have poor internet connectivity while using the digital devices. About 69.09% of the respondents have power cuts frequently. Almost 81.81% of the respondents lack devices like laptops or tablets. Around 36.36% of the respondents have opined that online content can lead to misinformation. 56.36% of the respondents opined that the online content is of poor quality. Sometimes it leads to a misconception of the content. About 47.27% of the respondents said that there are inconsistent educational standards due to changes in time and space.



Table-3 Reduced Social Interaction:

	Content	Description	Frequency	Percentage
1	Reduced Social Interaction	face-to-face communication	51	92.72
		affecting students' social skills,	48	87.27
		emotional development	52	94.54
		sense of community	49	89.09
2	Assessment Difficulties	cheating	43	78.18
		lack of supervision,	33	60.00
		unreliable testing methods	34	61.81

Field survey—2025

Table 3 explains about the social interaction between and among the students as well as teachers. About 92.72% of the respondents complained that it reduces face-to-face communication. E-learning methods are freely available at where they are through the digital gadgets. Hence, cannot understand the response of the students and teacher directly. It may be difficult to know whether the students can understand or not. 87.27% of the respondents opined that digital education may be affecting students' social skills. About 94.54% of them said there is no space for emotional development. About 89.09% of the respondents opined that there was no sense of community. Almost 78.18% of the respondents felt that sometimes digitalization may cheat both students and teachers. About 60% of the respondents opined that there is a lack of supervision to assess. About 61.81% of the respondents felt that unreliable testing methods.

Table-4 Mental Health and Screen Fatigue

	Content	Description	Frequency	Percentage
1	Mental Health and Screen Fatigue	eye strain,	37	67.27
		stress,	41	74.54
		reduced concentration,	39	70.90
		negatively affecting students'	35	63.63
		mental and physical well-being.	29	52.72
2	Privacy and Data Security Concerns	risks of data breaches,	21	38.18
		cyberbullying,.	19	34.54
		misuse of personal information	20	36.36

Field survey—2025

Table 4 indicates mental health and screen fatigue. About 67.27% of the respondents felt eye strain due to prolonged use of electronic devices like mobile phones. 74.54% of them felt that stress when regularly going through the smartphone. 70.90% of the respondents opined that it was the cause for low concentration. 63.63% of the



respondents said that it may negatively affect students. About 38.18% of them felt that there is a risk of data breaches. About 34.54% of the respondents opined that it leads to cyberbullying. About 36.36% of the respondents felt that there is a chance of misuse of personal information.

There are some social implications while using digital education, such as Unequal access to devices, the internet, and digital literacy exacerbates social and economic disparities among students. Digital platforms alter traditional classroom dynamics, impacting personal interaction, mentorship, and social bonding. Students can collaborate across borders, promoting multicultural understanding and exposure to diverse perspectives. Learners are becoming more autonomous and responsible for self-paced learning, while teachers act as facilitators rather than only instructors. Limited face-to-face interaction may reduce the development of communication, teamwork, and interpersonal skills.

Digital education must consider cultural norms, languages, and local contexts to be socially effective and inclusive. Online learning often increases parental participation in children's education, changing family dynamics and responsibilities. Exposure to digital platforms raises ethical issues regarding data protection, cyberbullying, and online surveillance. While digital education expands learning resources, it can overwhelm students and make it difficult to discern credible information. Effective digital education can enhance social mobility by providing skills and knowledge that improve career opportunities and social advancement.

V. Conclusion

The study concludes that science has played a transformative role in shaping digital education and redefining the learning process in modern society. Scientific advancements in information technology and communication have made education more accessible, flexible, and interactive. However, the sociological analysis reveals that this transformation also deepens inequalities among learners due to differences in access, digital literacy, and socioeconomic background. While digital platforms promote collaboration and global connectivity, they also challenge traditional classroom dynamics and teacher-student relationships. The success of digital education depends not only on technological innovation but also on social acceptance, cultural adaptation, and equitable resource distribution. Teachers, students, and policymakers must work together to bridge the digital divide and ensure that technology serves as a tool for inclusion rather than exclusion. Continuous investment in digital infrastructure, teacher training, and ethical guidelines is essential for sustainable progress. Ultimately, the impact of science on digital education highlights the need for a balanced approach that integrates technological growth with social responsibility and human values.

References

1. Selwyn, N. (2016). *Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates* (2nd ed.). London: Bloomsbury.



A foundational text discussing the social, political, and cultural dimensions of technology in education.

2. Warschauer, M. (2011). *Learning in the Cloud: How (and Why) to Transform Schools with Digital Media*. Teachers College Record, 113(9), 1892–1920.

Explores how digital media reshapes teaching and learning, with attention to equity and access.

3. Van Dijk, J. (2020). *The Digital Divide*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Examines socioeconomic inequalities in access to digital technologies and implications for education.

4. Selwyn, N., & Facer, K. (2013). *The Politics of Education and Technology: Conflicts, Controversies, and Connections*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Investigates the political and ethical questions surrounding the use of technology in schools.

5. Hargittai, E. (2008). The Digital Reproduction of Inequality. *Handbook of Internet Studies*, 129–146.

Analyzes how digital access and skills replicate or amplify existing social inequalities.

6. Cuban, L. (2001). *Oversold and Underused: Computers in the Classroom*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Critically assesses the implementation of computer technology in schools through a sociological lens.

7. Selwyn, N. (2012). *Education in a Digital World: Global Perspectives on Technology and Education*. London: Routledge.

Presents global case studies showing how technology affects education systems and societal outcomes.

8. Livingstone, S., & Helsper, E. (2007). Gradations in Digital Inclusion: Children, Young People and the Digital Divide. *New Media & Society*, 9(4), 671–696.

Explores how children and young people experience digital technologies differently and the social implications.

9. Ito, M., Baumer, S., Bittanti, M., Boyd, D., Cody, R., Herr-Stephenson, B., ... Tripp, L. (2010). *Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out: Kids Living and Learning with New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

A sociological study of youth practices in digital spaces and how science and technology shape learning outside schools.

10. OECD (2015). *Students, Computers, and Learning: Making the Connection*. OECD Publishing.

Empirical report investigating how computer use relates to students' performance and the socio-educational factors involved.