Blame culture and its effects on organisational productivity – a case study of Mcpee Limited.

[1]M. O. O. Ifesemen, [2]Dr Dulari Rajput

[1]A dissertation is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of The University of Salford for the degree of MSc Global Management

[2]Dissertation Director & Corporate leader for Business Management, planning and administration

Abstract - This research critically examines the pervasive effects of blame culture on organisational productivity, using Mcpee Limited—a production-oriented company based in Southern Nigeria—as a case study. The study explores how blame culture is embedded within the operational and social fabric of the company and investigates its impact on employee behaviour, work procedures, and overall organisational performance. This research investigates the pervasive effects of blame culture on organisational productivity, using Mcpee Limited, a production-oriented firm in Southern Nigeria, as a case study. The study aims to explore how blame culture is embedded within the company's operational and social environment and its influence on employee behaviour, work procedures, and overall productivity. An inductive research approach with a descriptive design was adopted, employing a mixed- methods data collection strategy. Quantitative data were gathered through questionnaires administered to 314 employees across varied departments, while qualitative insights were obtained from 80 department heads and supervisors via in-depth interviews. This triangulation enabled a comprehensive understanding of how blame culture permeates the organization and affects its functioning. The findings reveal that blame culture cultivates a tense and insecure workplace, where employees avoid assuming responsibility for mistakes due to fear of punitive consequences. This environment suppresses risk-taking and innovation, thereby constraining the organization's ability to adapt and improve continuously. Several factors perpetuate this culture, including rigid procedural frameworks that restrict employee discretion, entrenched favoritism and nepotism, and ineffective recognition and reward systems that fail to engage or motivate staff adequately. Moreover, blame culture fosters demotivation, learned helplessness, micromanagement, and erodes employee empowerment, trust, and cooperation. Managers, concerned about protecting their reputations, frequently shift blame downward instead of promoting accountability, resulting in excessive bureaucracy and decreased employee engagement. To counteract these detrimental effects, the study recommends shifting organizational culture from blame-oriented to accountability-focused. This transformation calls for promoting fairness and meritocracy by eliminating favouritism, encouraging teamwork and collaboration aligned with shared goals, and streamlining work processes to reduce unnecessary rigidity. Empowering employees to exercise discretion, creativity, and problem-solving initiative without fear of unjust repercussions is emphasized as critical for fostering innovation and boosting productivity.

The study concludes that blame culture significantly undermines organizational productivity by creating a fearful and rigid work environment. It recommends transforming the culture from blame-oriented to accountability-focused by promoting fairness, teamwork, flexible work practices, and problem-solving approaches. Empowering employees to take initiative without fear of unjust punishment and recognizing their contributions can foster innovation and enhance productivity. These findings offer valuable insights for organizations seeking to cultivate a positive, supportive, and accountable workplace culture conducive to sustained performance improvement.

I. Introduction

Background

Blame culture in organizations refers to a work environment where punitive measures are predominantly applied to mistakes, rather than focusing on understanding root causes and implementing corrective actions aimed at improvement. This culture is characterized by fear of criticism, retribution, and reluctance among employees to take risks or accept responsibility for errors (Karten, 2013; Pearn et al., 1998). It is a manifestation of improper consequence management, where accountability is replaced by punishment, often leading to dysfunctional organisational behaviour.

Organizational culture broadly encompasses the shared values, beliefs, norms, and behaviors that define the social and psychological environment of a workplace (Edmonds, 2015; Useem & Useem, 1963). Within this framework, blame culture specifically undermines trust, openness, and innovation by fostering insecurity and defensive attitudes among employees (Hsu et al., 2014). The negative effects of blame culture include reduced empowerment, increased bureaucracy, favoritism, and micromanagement, which collectively impede productivity and organizational growth (Brenner, 2005; Riley, 2015).

Mcpee Limited, a production-oriented firm based in Southern Nigeria with a diverse workforce, exemplifies an organization entrenched in blame culture. The company employs 340 regular staff and approximately 470 irregular workers, operating within a competitive transnational market. This setting provides a pertinent case for analyzing how blame culture manifests in industrial environments and its effects on productivity.

Existing Evidence

Extensive literature highlights the detrimental impact of blame culture on organizational performance. Studies indicate that blame culture generates fear among employees, discouraging risk-taking and innovation, which are critical for continuous improvement and adaptability (Bryner, 2010; Dattner, 2011). Research by Hsu et al. (2014) demonstrates that blame drives defensiveness, reduces collaboration, and hampers learning, leading to poor operational outcomes.

Empirical findings suggest that organizations with blame cultures experience high staff turnover, low morale, and diminished creativity, all of which contribute to decreased productivity (Riley, 2015; Napoli et al., 2005). Furthermore, favoritism and nepotism exacerbate blame culture by creating inequities and undermining fairness, which erodes trust and teamwork (Dex & Scheibl, 2001). The literature also emphasizes the importance of employee empowerment and flexible work practices in counteracting blame culture and enhancing productivity (Nagwann, 2012; Joyce et al., 2010).

Despite recognition of these issues, few studies provide in-depth analysis of blame culture within the Nigerian industrial context or offer actionable strategies tailored to such environments. Existing research predominantly focuses on Western organizational settings, leaving a gap in understanding how blame culture operates in diverse cultural and economic landscapes.

Research Gap
While the negative consequences of blame culture are well-documented, there remains a paucity of research addressing its specific manifestations and effects in Nigerian manufacturing firms like Mcpee Limited. The interplay of workplace diversity factors—such

as ethnicity, gender, age, and educational background—and their influence on blame culture dynamics has not been thoroughly explored. Moreover, limited empirical evidence exists on effective organisational strategies to mitigate blame culture and promote accountability, innovation, and productivity in this context.

This study seeks to fill these gaps by providing a detailed examination of blame culture's operational and social dimensions at Mcpee Limited, identifying its impact on employee behaviour and organisational outcomes, and proposing contextually relevant interventions.

Objectives
The primary aim of this research is to analyze the effects of blame culture on organizational productivity at Mcpee Limited. Specific objectives include:

To examine the nature and extent of blame culture within Mcpee Limited and its influence on employee behavior and work procedures.

To identify key factors contributing to the persistence of blame culture, including procedural rigidity, favoritism, and ineffective recognition systems.

To assess the consequences of blame culture on organizational productivity, employee morale, and innovation.

To propose practical strategies for transforming the organizational culture from blame-oriented to accountability-focused, fostering empowerment, teamwork, and flexible work practices.

Scope

This study focuses on Mcpee Limited's regular workforce of 340 employees and includes data collected from 314 respondents via questionnaires and 80 department heads and supervisors through interviews. The research covers the period from 2009 to 2015, a time marked by evolving industrial policies in Nigeria. Constraints include the study's confinement to a single case organization within the manufacturing sector in Southern Nigeria, which may limit the generalizability of findings. Additionally, the reliance on self- reported data introduces potential biases, though triangulation with qualitative interviews aims to enhance validity.

The scope is deliberately narrow to allow for an in-depth analysis of the effects of blame culture on productivity within the specific cultural, economic, and organisational context of Mcpee Limited. The findings intend to inform both academic discourse and practical management interventions in similar industrial settings.

II. Materials and Methods

List of Materials Used in the Study
Structured questionnaires designed to capture quantitative data on employee perceptions and experiences related to blame culture and productivity.

Interview guides containing 10 structured open-ended questions for qualitative data collection from department heads and supervisors.

Secondary data sources including company documents, reports, and relevant literature for contextual understanding and triangulation.

Step-by-Step Procedure
Research Design:

A descriptive research design with an inductive and explanatory approach was adopted to explore the effects of blame culture on organisational productivity at Mcpee Limited.

Sampling:
The entire regular workforce of Mcpee Limited (340 employees) was targeted for questionnaire distribution. A total of 314 completed questionnaires were retrieved, representing a 92% response rate. Additionally, 80 department heads and supervisors were purposively selected for in-depth interviews.

Data Collection:
Questionnaires were physically administered across all five company departments over a two-week period.

Concurrently, interviews were conducted with selected managerial staff to gain qualitative insights complementing the survey data. Notes from interviews were taken and later structured for analysis.

Ethical Considerations:

Participation was voluntary with informed consent obtained orally during a company town hall meeting. Confidentiality was assured by anonymizing responses and instructing research assistants accordingly.

Data Triangulation:
Quantitative data from questionnaires were triangulated with qualitative interview data and secondary sources to enhance validity and depth of understanding.

Tools and Instruments Used for Data Analysis
Quantitative Data: Descriptive statistical analysis was employed, primarily using percentage distributions to analyse demographic data and questionnaire responses.

Qualitative Data: Thematic analysis was applied to interview transcripts and notes, identifying recurring patterns and insights related to blame culture and its organisational impact.

Validity and Reliability Measures:
- Validity was ensured by pre-testing questionnaires with human resource professionals from similar organisations and incorporating their feedback.
- Reliability was supported through methodological triangulation, combining multiple data sources (questionnaires, interviews, documents).
- Consistency in data collection was maintained by using structured instruments and standardised procedures for administering questionnaires and conducting interviews.
- The high questionnaire return rate (92%) and the inclusion of diverse employee ranks and departments further strengthened reliability.

This methodological framework provided a robust basis for analyzing the manifestations and effects of blame culture on productivity at Mcpee Limited.

Results and Discussion
Respondents' Demographic Characteristics
- Gender Distribution: 64% male (201), 36% female (113).
- Age Groups: 66% aged 20–40 years (205), 34% aged 41–60 years (109).
- Rank: 33% junior staff (104), 53% middle management (167), 14% top management
- (43).
- Education: 36% secondary school (114), 64% tertiary institution (200).

Departmental Distribution of Respondents

Department	No. of Respondents	Percentage

Sales/Marketing	65	21%
Administrative	75	24%
Operations	68	22%
Laboratory/Engineering	49	15%
Credit Control	57	18%
Total	314	100%

Use of Procedures and Discretion
- 100% confirmed the existence of company procedures/guidelines.
- 98% indicated procedures do not cover all possible scenarios, limiting employee discretion.
- 96% reported staff are not empowered to deviate from procedures when unanticipated situations arise.
- 78% stated punishment is meted out for mistakes and discretionary actions gone wrong.
- 65% indicated staff are not allowed to use their discretion freely.

Blaming Practices
- 78% confirmed staff are blamed or punished for mistakes.
- 94% individuals reported that they are blamed more than groups.
- 97% noted superiors are rarely blamed.
- 84% observed presence of "untouchable" staff exempt from blame.
- 76% of respondents noted that staff often cover up mistakes to avoid blame.
- 97% reported that a fear of blame affects their willingness to take proactive actions.

Motivation and Work Delivery
- Only 17% felt motivated at work; 83% reported low motivation.
- 13% said staff are rewarded for extra work; 87% said they are not.
- 73% reported high staff turnover.
- 38% attributed turnover partly to low salary.

Data Visuals
Figure 1: Perception of Procedure Adequacy and Empowerment

Aspect	Yes (%)	No (%)

Procedures cover all scenarios	2	98
Empowered to deviate from procedures	4	96
Allowed to use discretion	35	65

Figure 2: Blame Distribution

Blame Target	Percentage (%)
Individuals blamed	94
Groups blamed	23
Superiors blamed	3
Untouchable staff exempt	84

Figure 3: Motivation and Reward

Aspect	Yes (%)	No (%)
Staff feel motivated	17	83
Staff rewarded for extra work	13	87

Discussion

The results indicate a deeply entrenched blame culture at Mcpee Limited, reflected in the overwhelming consensus that company procedures are rigid and fail to accommodate real- time discretion. The near-unanimous view (98%) that procedures do not cover all scenarios highlights a systemic weakness that restricts employee autonomy, contributing to a culture where deviation from prescribed processes is punished rather than encouraged. This rigidity suppresses innovation and problem-solving, consistent with literature that links blame culture to procedural inflexibility and low employee empowerment (Brenner, 2005; Riley, 2015).

The data on blaming practices reveal a disproportionate attribution of fault to individual employees, particularly those in junior ranks, while superiors remain largely immune. This asymmetry fosters perceptions of unfairness and favoritism, which erode trust and morale, aligning with findings by Dex and Scheibl (2001) on the negative impact of nepotism and favoritism in blame cultures. The presence of "untouchable" employees

further exacerbates this divide, undermining the collective accountability necessary for effective teamwork and productivity.

Fear of blame is pervasive, with 97% of respondents acknowledging its inhibitory effect on proactive behavior. This fear-driven environment aligns with Hsu et al. (2014) and Karten (2013), who emphasize that blame culture stifles risk-taking and creativity. The high rate of mistake concealment (76%) suggests a defensive workplace atmosphere where learning from errors is obstructed, reinforcing a cycle of demotivation and stagnation.

Motivation levels are critically low, with only 17% of staff feeling motivated and a mere 13% receiving rewards for extra effort. This lack of recognition reflects ineffective reward systems that fail to incentivize innovation or initiative, as noted in the literature (Seibert cited in Walter, 2011). High staff turnover (73%) further indicates the adverse effects of blame culture on retention, consistent with Riley (2015) who links blame culture to increased absenteeism and attrition.

Overall, the results confirm that blame culture at Mcpee Limited creates a tense, insecure work environment characterized by procedural rigidity, favoritism, and insufficient empowerment. These factors collectively hinder organizational productivity by reducing employee engagement, innovation, and accountability. The findings reinforce the need for cultural transformation toward fairness, flexibility, and collaborative problem-solving to enhance productivity and employee well-being.

III. Conclusion

This study aimed to analyze the effects of blame culture on organizational productivity at Mcpee Limited, with specific objectives to examine the nature and extent of blame culture within the company, identify key contributing factors, assess its impact on productivity and employee morale, and propose practical strategies for cultural transformation.

The key findings reveal that Mcpee Limited is entrenched in a pervasive blame culture characterized by rigid procedural frameworks, lack of employee empowerment, favoritism, nepotism, and ineffective recognition systems. This culture fosters a tense and insecure work environment where employees avoid responsibility due to fear of punitive repercussions, leading to reduced risk-taking, stifled innovation, low motivation, and high staff turnover.

Managers often deflect blame downward, resulting in excessive bureaucracy, micromanagement, and diminished trust and collaboration. These factors collectively impede organizational productivity and employee engagement.

The implications of these findings underscore the critical need for Mcpee Limited to shift from a blame-oriented culture to one focused on accountability, fairness, and empowerment. Addressing blame culture is essential not only for enhancing productivity but also for improving employee morale, fostering innovation, and retaining talent in a competitive industrial environment. The study highlights that

cultural transformation can enable the company to better leverage its diverse workforce and respond adaptively to market challenges.

Recommendations for future research include exploring effective policy frameworks and procedural designs that minimize blame culture while promoting accountability and discretion in Nigerian manufacturing contexts. Further studies could investigate comparative analyses across different sectors or regions to generalize findings and develop tailored interventions. Additionally, longitudinal research assessing the impact of implemented cultural change strategies on productivity and employee well-being at Mcpee Limited would provide valuable insights for sustained organisational development.

References (APA 7th Style)

1. Blame Culture, Psychological Safety & Organisational Behaviour
2. Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams.
3. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383.
4. Edmondson, A. C. (2019). The Fearless Organization: Creating psychological safety in the workplace. Wiley.
5. Ashkanasy, N. M., Wilderom, C. P., & Peterson, M. F. (Eds.). (2011). The Handbook of Organizational Culture and Climate (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
6. O'Neill, T. A., & Salas, E. (2018). Creating high-performance teamwork in organizations.
7. Human Resource Management Review, 28(4), 325–331.
8. Gibson, C. B., & Manuel, J. A. (2003). Building trust: Effective strategies. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 18(3), 24–39.
9. Tjosvold, D. (2008). The conflict-positive organization: It depends upon us. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 29(1), 19–28.
10. Reason, J. (1997). Managing the risks of organizational accidents. Ashgate.
11. Reason, J. (2000). Human error: Models and management. BMJ, 320(7237), 768–770.