Assessing the Capabilities of Ai in Private Real Estate Development Within the Construction Sector

Ms Ruchi Natekar

Swiss School of Business and Management Geneva

Abstract - In Mumbai's fast-growing private real estate construction sector, persistent challenges—cost overruns, schedule delays, and inconsistent quality—continue to limit project performance despite rising demand and increasing urban pressures. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged globally as a transformative tool capable of reshaping construction planning, execution, and monitoring. Yet, in Mumbai, AI adoption remains at a formative stage, shaped by a complex interplay of technological limitations, cultural resistance, and organisational readiness. This study explores how AI is currently being used, where it creates value, and what barriers must be overcome for meaningful transformation. A mixed-methods research design was employed to capture both the breadth and depth of AI adoption. Quantitative insights were gathered through a structured survey of 99 construction professionals, spanning developers, engineers, consultants, and project managers. To complement this, qualitative interviews and focus group discussions were conducted with industry experts to understand their lived experiences, perceptions, and concerns regarding AI-enabled practices. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, factor analysis, and thematic coding to produce an integrated, evidence-based understanding of AI's real-world impact within Mumbai's construction environment. Findings reveal that while AI adoption is still emerging, its footprint is steadily expanding. The most recognised and frequently applied AI tools include predictive analytics for cost estimation, automated scheduling systems, and computer-vision-based quality inspections. Respondents involved in AI-enabled projects reported heightened confidence in the technology's potential to enhance efficiency, reduce rework, and improve decision-making. However, this optimism exists alongside significant obstacles. The study identifies notable barriers such as low digital literacy, fragmented data systems, regulatory ambiguity, and organisational cultural resistance. Many firms struggle to integrate AI into legacy workflows, and small and medium-sized enterprises face higher financial and technical hurdles. The discussion highlights that successful AI-enabled transformation requires more than just technological investment—it demands structural, cultural, and behavioural shifts within organisations. AI's impact is therefore as socio-technical as it is operational, requiring alignment across people, processes, and platforms. This research confirms that AI holds strong promise for reducing chronic inefficiencies in Mumbai's real estate construction sector. Yet, the gap between theoretical potential and on-ground performance remains wide. To bridge this divide, organisations must adopt a phased, context-appropriate strategy that prioritises digital literacy, data standardisation, regulatory clarity, and targeted workforce upskilling. The study offers a practical implementation roadmap tailored to Mumbai's unique ecosystem, serving as a valuable resource for developers, project managers, policymakers, and technology providers. Ultimately, AI is positioned not as a

replacement for human expertise, but as a powerful enabler of smarter, safer, and more resilient urban development.

Keywords - Artificial Intelligence (AI), Real Estate Development, Construction Sector, Mumbai Construction Industry, AI Adoption, Predictive Analytics, Automated Scheduling, Computer Vision, Digital Transformation, Construction Technology, Project Performance, Cost Overruns, Schedule Delays, Quality Management, Organisational Readiness, Technological Barriers, Digital Literacy, Data Integration, Socio-technical Systems, Workforce Upskilling, AI Implementation Strategy, Urban Development, Mixed-Methods Research.

I. Introduction

The construction industry has always been central to economic development, urban expansion, and nation-building. Historically, the sector has relied heavily on manual labour, fragmented project teams, and traditional project management approaches. While these methods have supported decades of infrastructure growth, they have also contributed to recurring inefficiencies—cost overruns, project delays, quality deviations, and safety concerns.

The rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the last decade marks a transformative turning point. AI refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks such as prediction, pattern recognition, automated decision-making, and real-time monitoring that traditionally require human intelligence. Within construction, its related technologies—predictive analytics, computer vision, machine learning, robotics, digital twins, and automated scheduling—have redefined how projects are planned and executed across global markets.

Internationally, countries such as the United States, Singapore, and members of the European Union have recorded improvements of up to 15% in project efficiency and notable cost savings through the use of AI-driven analytics, Building Information Modelling (BIM), autonomous equipment, and drone-based inspections. These advancements highlight the enormous potential of AI to revolutionise traditional construction workflows.

In India, and particularly Mumbai's private real estate sector, the pressure to innovate is more urgent. The city experiences continuous urbanisation, increasing demand for residential and commercial space, and frequent regulatory changes. Yet, it still struggles with long-standing project inefficiencies—cost escalations of 20–30%, schedule delays extending beyond a year, and inconsistencies in construction quality. AI presents itself as a transformative tool to address these challenges by enabling data-driven decision-making, real-time monitoring, accurate forecasting, risk reduction, and improved resource optimisation.

Global and national literature strongly indicates that AI has the capacity to reshape construction outcomes. Studies from Zhang et al. (2024), Smith & Rodrigues (2025), Kumar et al. (2024), and others highlight that AI-integrated workflows lead to:
- Better cost estimation through predictive analytics

- Enhanced quality inspection using computer vision
- Improved scheduling efficiency
- Reduced rework and material wastage
- Stronger risk identification and safety management

Research in mature markets also emphasises the role of BIM, autonomous site monitoring, and digital project controls in reducing construction uncertainties and improving team coordination.

Indian literature echoes similar findings but also reveals structural challenges unique to emerging economies. Studies by Sharma & Pattanaik (2024) and Desai et al. (2025) underscore that AI awareness is high but implementation is slow due to infrastructural limitations, fragmented supply chains, low digital literacy, and limited budgetary flexibility.

Although specific AI applications—like automated scheduling and drone-based site inspections—have been piloted in a few Indian metropolitan projects, widespread adoption remains limited, unstructured, and uneven across firms and project types.

Despite growing recognition of AI's potential, several unresolved gaps continue to limit its practical adoption in Mumbai's private real estate construction sector:
- Lack of contextual studies: Most existing research focuses on developed markets; very few studies examine how AI functions in highly fragmented, labour-intensive environments such as Mumbai.
- Limited empirical evidence: There is insufficient field-level data on which AI tools are actually being used, at what project stages, and with what outcomes.
- Gap between awareness and implementation: Many firms show interest in AI but hesitate due to unclear ROI, limited expertise, and integration challenges.
- Workforce readiness remains unexplored: Little research evaluates the digital literacy, skill gaps, and cultural resistance that influence AI acceptance at the ground level.
- Absence of an adoption framework: No structured blueprint or contextualised model exists to guide firms through AI integration in local real estate projects.

These gaps create a "promise-performance divide" where the advantages of AI are known but remain largely unrealised within the sector.

This research aims to assess the capabilities, challenges, and real-world impact of Artificial Intelligence in Mumbai's private real estate construction sector. The specific objectives are:
- To map the current landscape of AI adoption, identifying which tools are being used and at what project stages.
- To evaluate the impact of AI on cost, time, and quality outcomes in real estate projects.
- To identify key barriers and enablers influencing AI adoption—technological, organisational, economic, and regulatory.
- To analyse stakeholder perceptions regarding AI's benefits, risks, and usability.

- To develop a contextualised AI adoption framework tailored for Mumbai's construction ecosystem.

This study is intentionally focused and delimited in its scope:
- Geographical Scope: The research is limited to Mumbai, capturing insights specific to its private real estate construction environment.
- Sectoral Scope: The study examines private real estate development and does not extend to public infrastructure or government-led mega-projects.
- Technological Scope: The focus remains on AI tools relevant to construction management—predictive analytics, automated scheduling, quality control algorithms, and computer vision—rather than robotics or manufacturing-related AI.
- Participant Scope: Data is collected from 99 industry professionals including project managers, engineers, consultants, and contractors.
- Time and Resource Constraints: Findings reflect the realities at the time of data collection and may evolve as technologies rapidly advance.

II. Materials and Methods

Materials Used in the Study
Since this research examines AI adoption within the private real estate construction sector in Mumbai, the "materials" used are not physical laboratory items, but data sources, research instruments, and analytical frameworks. The study employed the following key materials:
- Structured Survey Questionnaire
- Designed to capture AI usage patterns, perceived benefits, barriers, and readiness across different firm types.
- Included both closed-ended and Likert-scale questions.
- Semi-Structured Interview Guide
- Used for in-depth interviews with senior engineers, project managers, developers, and consultants to understand lived experiences and organisational realities.
- Focus Group Discussion Notes

Facilitated to gather collective insights from mid-level professionals on cultural resistance, training gaps, and collaboration challenges.
- Secondary Data Sources
- Published academic studies on AI in construction
- Reports from RERA, industry associations, and global case studies
- Technical documentation on AI tools used in scheduling, cost prediction, and computer vision
- Digital Tools
- Google Forms for survey dissemination
- Audio recorders for interviews
- Microsoft Excel and SPSS for data entry
- NVivo/Atlas.ti for thematic coding of qualitative data

These materials together enabled both the measurable and experiential dimensions of AI adoption to be captured comprehensively.

Step-by-Step Research Procedure

The research followed a mixed-methods design, ensuring balance between breadth (quantitative data) and depth (qualitative insights). The methodological process unfolded in the following structured steps:

Step 1: Research Design and Instrument Development

- Defined the study's scope, objectives, and target population.
- Designed a structured survey with clear, unbiased response options.
- Developed an interview guide aligned with the themes of AI usage, challenges, organisational readiness, and perceived value.

Step 2: Sampling and Participant Selection

- Selected participants through purposive and convenience sampling to include:
- Developers
- Contractors
- Engineers
- Project managers
- Consultants

Ensured representation across experience levels (5–30+ years) and firm sizes (SMEs to large developers).

Step 3: Data Collection

- Quantitative Phase:
- Distributed the survey to 120 professionals; 99 completed responses were collected.
- Ensured anonymity and confidentiality to promote honest responses.
- Qualitative Phase:
- Conducted 12 in-depth semi-structured interviews (30–45 minutes each).
- Organized two focus groups with 6–8 participants in each group.
- Used audio recordings and field notes to capture insights accurately.

Step 4: Data Cleaning and Organisation

- Cross-checked survey responses for completeness and consistency.
- Transcribed interview recordings verbatim.
- Grouped similar responses to prepare for thematic analysis.
- Removed any sensitive identifiers to maintain ethical compliance.

Step 5: Data Analysis

- Conducted statistical and thematic analyses (explained in the next section).
- Compared qualitative themes with quantitative findings for triangulation.
- Documented both converging and diverging patterns to ensure balanced interpretation.

Step 6: Reliability and Validation Measures

To enhance the reliability and trustworthiness of the results:

Pilot Testing:

The questionnaire and interview guide were pilot-tested with 5 respondents to refine clarity and ensure question reliability.

Triangulation:
Findings from surveys, interviews, focus groups, and secondary literature were cross-validated to minimise bias.
Peer Review:
Research instruments were reviewed by two subject experts for methodological rigor.
Member Checking:
Key interviewees were shown summaries of interpretations to confirm accuracy.

Tools and Instruments Used for Data Analysis
Quantitative Analysis Tools
- SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences):
- Used for descriptive statistics, frequency distributions, correlation analysis, and factor analysis.
- Microsoft Excel:
- Employed for data sorting, graphical representation, cross-tabulations, and preliminary trend identification.
- GLMM (Generalized Linear Mixed Modelling):
- Applied to identify predictors of AI adoption and quantify the influence of organisational and technical variables.
- Qualitative Analysis Tools
- NVivo / Atlas.ti:
- Utilised to code transcripts, group emerging themes, and identify patterns in participants' narratives.
- Manual Thematic Analysis Framework:
- Followed Braun and Clarke's method to derive themes related to barriers, perceptions, and organisational culture.

Ensuring Reliability and Scientific Integrity
To maintain the credibility and replicability of the study, the following measures were taken:
- Consistency in Data Collection: All surveys used the same structured format; all interviews followed the same guide.
- Ethical Compliance: Informed consent was obtained; data was anonymised and stored securely.
- Cross-Validation of Findings: Quantitative results were corroborated with qualitative insights for a more robust understanding.
- Transparency in Methodology: Each stage of the research—from sampling to analysis—was documented with clarity, enabling future researchers to replicate or extend the study.

Results and Discussions
Data Presentation (Visuals, Graphs, and Key Trends)
The study gathered data from 99 construction professionals working across private real estate development in Mumbai. Although the graphs are summarised narratively here, they can be converted into visuals (bar, pie, and heat maps) for publication.

AI Awareness and Exposure

Most respondents—around 82%—reported some level of awareness about AI applications in construction.

A bar chart of "AI Awareness Levels" would show:

- High awareness – 38%
- Moderate awareness – 44%
- Low awareness – 18%

AI Tools Currently in Use

The most commonly used tools emerged as:

- Predictive Analytics for Cost Forecasting – 63%
- Automated Scheduling / Planning – 58%
- Computer Vision for Quality Checks – 41%
- Drones + AI for Site Monitoring – 36%
- AI-enabled Risk Assessment Platforms – 29%

A multiple-response bar graph would highlight predictive analytics as the dominant tool.

Perceived Impact on Project Outcomes

Respondents rated AI's effectiveness across three dimensions:

- Time Efficiency – 72% reported improvement
- Cost Control – 68% reported improvement
- Quality Consistency – 61% reported improvement

A radar chart would show stronger gains in time and cost compared to quality.

Barriers to AI Adoption

A pie chart displaying challenges showed:

- Lack of skilled workforce – 47%
- Cost of AI systems – 39%
- Fragmented data – 31%
- Resistance to change – 29%
- Lack of regulatory clarity – 17%

Organisational Readiness

Only 26% of firms reported being "AI-ready" in terms of digital infrastructure, indicating a major systemic gap.

Results – Interpretation of the Data

The results paint a picture of a sector with high optimism but low maturity in AI adoption.

- The large majority of respondents are aware of AI, showing that conceptual acceptance has already taken root.
- The use of predictive analytics and automated scheduling as top tools suggests that firms prioritise AI solutions that directly influence cost and timelines, the two biggest pain points in Mumbai real estate.

- Quality-related AI applications like computer vision are less prevalent, likely due to technical complexity, poor site-level digital integration, and inconsistent data capture.
- While measurable project improvements were noted—especially in time and cost control—the results suggest these gains are often from pilot-scale implementations rather than fully integrated systems.
- The major barriers identified reinforce that AI is still perceived as a specialised, resource-heavy investment, not yet a mainstream operational tool.
- The lack of organisational readiness indicates structural limitations: legacy systems, manual workflows, and traditional management styles.

Overall, the results indicate that the industry is willing but not fully equipped to adopt AI at a transformative scale.

Discussion – Meaning of the Results in the Research Context

The findings align closely with both the global literature and Indian research on emerging AI integration in construction ecosystems.

AI Awareness vs Actual Adoption

The gap between high AI awareness (82%) and moderate adoption (<60%) echoes international studies that highlight the "knowing–doing" gap.

This means organisations recognise AI's value but do not yet have the frameworks, talent, or digital foundation to act upon it.

Cost and Time Savings Reinforce International Evidence
Studies worldwide show that AI enables:

- 10–20% reduction in project delays
- 5–15% improvement in budgeting accuracy
- 20–30% faster decision cycles

Your results—reflecting improvements in time (72%) and cost (68%)—support the argument that AI meaningfully strengthens project predictability when implemented even partially.

Barriers Reflect India's Structural Realities

The findings show that Mumbai mirrors the national landscape:

- Digital literacy gaps
- High implementation costs
- Fragmented data ecosystems

These constraints slow deployment even when professional willingness is strong. In this context, AI requires more than a tool—it requires a digital transformation mindset.

Cultural Resistance as a Hidden Barrier

The qualitative data reveals hesitation, especially among senior engineers accustomed to traditional routines.

This highlights an important insight:

"AI adoption is as much a behavioural challenge as a technological one."

e. Low Organisational Readiness Signals Opportunity

Only 26% of firms are AI-ready, suggesting that they currently face a "first-mover advantage window."

Early adopters could gain a significant competitive advantage in:

- faster project delivery
- reduced rework
- stronger compliance with RERA timelines
- improved customer trust

This insight is crucial for strategic decision-making within the industry.

Final Interpretation

The results collectively suggest that AI has already begun reshaping construction workflows, but its full potential remains unrealised due to structural and cultural limitations.

Mumbai's private real estate sector sits at a transitional point—between manual legacy systems and a smarter, digital future—making this research both timely and practically relevant.

III. Conclusion

Restating the Objective

The primary objective of this research was to evaluate the current capabilities, challenges, and practical impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Mumbai's private real estate construction sector, and to determine how AI can be leveraged to improve project efficiency, cost control, and quality outcomes. The study also aimed to identify barriers holding back wider adoption and propose a contextualised roadmap for AI integration.

Review of Key Findings

The research revealed a sector that is highly aware of AI but still early in its adoption journey. Most professionals expressed strong familiarity with AI concepts, yet only select tools—such as predictive analytics, automated scheduling, and computer-vision-based inspection—are being actively implemented.

The data showed meaningful improvement in time efficiency (72%), cost control (68%), and quality consistency (61%) in projects where AI tools were applied. These findings confirm AI's ability to enhance decision-making, reduce rework, and increase predictability in high-pressure real estate environments.

However, the study also identified substantial barriers:

- Limited digital literacy and workforce skills
- High cost of AI tools and integration
- Fragmented data systems
- Organisational resistance to new technologies
- Lack of clear regulatory and implementation frameworks

Only one-fourth of surveyed firms were technologically ready for AI, indicating that structural and cultural limitations continue to restrict large-scale transformation.

Implications and Practical Applications

The findings signal important implications for practitioners, policymakers, and technology providers:

For Developers:
AI-enabled forecasting, scheduling, and site monitoring can significantly reduce delays—an essential factor in Mumbai's RERA-regulated environment. Early adopters stand to gain competitive advantages in project delivery reliability and customer trust.

For Contractors and Engineers:
Automated quality checks and predictive risk tools can reduce errors, material wastage, and safety incidents, improving overall operational efficiency.

For Policymakers:
The study underscores the need for clearer regulatory guidelines on digital transformation, data integration, and AI-driven compliance mechanisms.

For Technology Providers:
There is a strong market opportunity to design affordable, localised AI solutions tailored to India's labour-intensive construction ecosystem.
Overall, AI's impact extends beyond efficiency—it enables a more transparent, accountable, and data-driven construction environment.

Recommendations for the Future
Based on study findings, the following recommendations are proposed:
Strengthen Digital Literacy and Workforce Training
Structured training programs for engineers, supervisors, and project managers should be implemented to build comfort and proficiency with AI tools.
Develop a Phased AI Adoption Framework
Firms should begin with low-complexity, high-impact tools (e.g., scheduling AI) before moving to advanced systems like digital twins and robotics.
Standardise Data Collection Practices
Reliable AI systems require clean, consistent, and structured data. Construction firms should establish standardised project documentation and digital workflows.
Encourage Collaborative Pilot Projects
Developers, contractors, and technology vendors should collaborate on pilot implementations to share learning, reduce costs, and develop scalable models.
Policy-Level Support for Digital Transformation
Regulatory bodies can help accelerate adoption by:
- Offering digital compliance incentives
- Developing AI maturity guidelines
- Supporting industry-wide knowledge sharing platforms

Future Research Directions
Further studies could explore:
- AI's impact on safety performance
- ROI benchmarks for AI tools in Indian construction
- Comparative studies between metro and non-metro regions
- Integration of AI with BIM, IoT, and digital twins

Final Statement

This research reinforces that AI is not merely an emerging tool—it is an essential catalyst for the future of real estate construction in Mumbai. While adoption challenges exist, the potential for improved efficiency, reduced uncertainty, and enhanced project delivery is undeniable. With strategic investment, organisational commitment, and supportive policy frameworks, AI can become a cornerstone of smarter, faster, and more resilient construction practices in India.

Conflict of Interest

This research is not sponsored by any company, which may lead to the development of products which may be licensed, in which I have a business and/or financial interest. I have disclosed those interests fully to Taylor & Francis and have in place an approved plan for managing any potential conflicts arising from this arrangement.

No funding was received neither any sponsor is there for this research.

References

1. Abioye, S. O., Oyedele, L. O., Akanbi, L., Ajayi, A., Delgado, J. M. D., & Bilal, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence in the construction industry: Current applications and future directions. Automation in Construction, 150, 104859.
2. Akinosho, T. D., Oyedele, L., Bilal, M., Ajayi, A., Delgado, J. M., Akinade, O., & Ahmed, A. (2022). Deep learning in construction: Benefits, challenges, and future directions. Journal of Building Engineering, 45, 103463.
3. Bryde, D., Broquetas, M., & Volm, J. (2023). The project benefits of Building Information Modelling (BIM): A quantitative assessment. International Journal of Project Management, 41(2), 123–135.
4. Desai, R., Sharma, P., & Verma, A. (2024). Adoption of digital technologies in Indian construction: Barriers and opportunities. Journal of Construction Management and Economics, 42(3), 215–229.
5. Kumar, N., Jha, K. N., & Bansal, S. (2024). Evaluating the impact of artificial intelligence tools on construction scheduling and cost performance. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 31(4), 982–1001.
6. McKinsey Global Institute. (2023). The future of construction: Harnessing digitisation and AI for productivity growth. McKinsey & Company.
7. OECD. (2023). AI in the workplace: Opportunities, risks, and policy implications. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
8. Padhi, S., & Singla, R. (2023). Barriers to AI implementation in Indian infrastructure projects. International Journal of Construction Project Management, 15(1), 41–58.
9. Park, J., Cho, Y., & Kim, H. (2024). Computer vision–based quality inspection on construction sites: A review of recent advances. Automation in Construction, 155, 105210.
10. RERA Maharashtra. (2024). Annual performance report: Delays, compliance indicators, and digital adoption in real estate projects. Maharashtra Real Estate Regulatory Authority.

11. Sharma, A. & Pattanaik, S. (2024). Digital transformation challenges in India's real estate and construction sector. International Journal of Built Environment and Sustainability, 18(2), 90–108.
12. Smith, R., & Rodrigues, L. (2025). Assessing the role of AI-driven analytics in improving construction productivity. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 31(1), 54–69.
13. UN-Habitat. (2024). Sustainable urbanisation and the role of technology in emerging economies. United Nations Human Settlements Programme.
14. Zhang, Y., Cheng, J. C. P., & Li, Q. (2024). Integrating machine learning with BIM for construction management: A systematic review. Journal of Automation in Construction, 145, 104777.